

## 305-573B Mechanics of Robotic Systems

### Solution of Assignment 6

## 1 Dynamics of Complex Robotic Mechanical Systems

**10.1** The condition for a symmetric matrix to be isotropic is that the matrix be proportional to the identity matrix, with a nonzero proportionality factor. Hence, it is apparent that for  $\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  of Subsection 10.5.2 to be isotropic, all we need is  $\beta = 0$ , i.e.,<sup>1</sup>

$$H + 3J = 3m_w r^2 + 2m_p r^2 \quad (1)$$

which yields an equality constraint at the design stage among the set of parameters  $H$ ,  $J$ ,  $m_w$ ,  $m_p$ , and  $r$ . If  $\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  is isotropic, then we have

$$\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \alpha \mathbf{1} \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix. Now, under condition (1),  $\alpha$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= I + \lambda^2 (3m_w + 2m_p + 15m_w + 4m_p) r^2 \\ &= I + \lambda^2 (18m_w + 6m_p) r^2 > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In order to gain more insight into the dynamics of the isotropic robot, let

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

whose cross-product matrices are denoted by  $\mathbf{U}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{U}_2$  and  $\mathbf{U}_3$ , respectively, and need not displayed here. We thus have, now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I} &= \alpha \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{C}(\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) &= \underbrace{2\sqrt{3}(\lambda^2(3m_w + m_p)r^2)}_{\gamma} \omega \left( \sum_1^3 \mathbf{U}_i \right) \boldsymbol{\theta}_a \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left( \sum_1^3 \mathbf{U}_i \right) \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a = \begin{bmatrix} -\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_3 \\ \dot{\theta}_1 - \dot{\theta}_3 \\ -\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The mathematical model in component form, thus reduces to

$$\alpha \ddot{\theta}_1 + \gamma \omega (-\dot{\theta}_2 + \dot{\theta}_3) = \tau_1 - \delta_1 \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha \ddot{\theta}_2 + \gamma \omega (\dot{\theta}_1 - \dot{\theta}_3) = \tau_2 - \delta_2 \quad (5)$$

$$\alpha \ddot{\theta}_3 + \gamma \omega (-\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2) = \tau_3 - \delta_3 \quad (6)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Notice typos in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in the book

Upon adding all three ODEs, we obtain an equation for  $\omega$ :

$$3\alpha\dot{\omega} = \sum_1^3 \tau_i - \sum_1^3 \delta_i \implies \omega = \frac{1}{3\alpha} \int_0^t [\tau_i(u) - \delta(u)] du$$

where  $u$  is a dummy variable of integration. Upon substitution of the above integral into the three odes, a system of three linear, time-varying ODEs are derived for three joint rates. The system, then, in state-variable form becomes

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}(t)\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}$$

where

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 - \delta_1 \\ \tau_2 - \delta_2 \\ \tau_3 - \delta_3 \theta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

And

$$\mathbf{A}(t) = -\frac{\gamma\omega(t)}{\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{1}$$

**10.2** Under pure translation, the kinematic constraint can be written as

$$\omega = \frac{a}{2r} \sum_1^3 \dot{\theta}_i = 0$$

or alternatively,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \\ \dot{\theta}_3 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Now the robot has 2-dof, and hence  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a$  can be expressed as

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{u}$$

where

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

And so the generalized inertia matrix under pure translation is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}' &= \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{I} \mathbf{L} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha & \beta \\ \alpha & \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (\alpha - \beta) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

**10.4** The system mass and angular velocity matrices  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  are, in this case, of  $6r \times 6r$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{T}$  is of  $6r \times n$ . We can thus express the  $6r$ -dimensional twist vector  $\mathbf{t}$  of the whole system as

$$\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{T}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a, \quad \dot{\mathbf{t}} = \mathbf{T}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a + \dot{\mathbf{T}}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a \quad (7)$$

and hence, the  $6r$  Newton-Euler equations of the whole set of bodies takes the form

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a + \dot{\mathbf{T}}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) + \mathbf{WMT}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a = \mathbf{w}^A + \mathbf{w}^G + \mathbf{w}^C - \mathbf{w}^D \quad (8)$$

with the usual definitions for  $\mathbf{w}^A$ ,  $\mathbf{w}^G$ ,  $\mathbf{w}^C$ , and  $\mathbf{w}^D$ . Now,  $\mathbf{t}$  and  $\mathbf{w}^C$  are reciprocal, in the sense that

$$\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{w}^C = 0 \quad (9)$$

and, if we replace  $\mathbf{t}$  in the above equation for its expression in eq.(7),

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a^T \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{w}^C = 0$$

which holds for any  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a$ , and hence,

$$\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{w}^C = 0 \quad (10)$$

i.e.,  $\mathbf{w}^C$  lies in the nullspace of  $\mathbf{T}^T$ . Thus, upon multiplying both sides of eq.(8) from the left by  $\mathbf{T}^T$ ,  $\mathbf{w}^C$  disappears, and we obtain

$$\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a + \dot{\mathbf{T}}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{WMT}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a = \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{w}^A + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{w}^G - \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{w}^D$$

or

$$\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a + \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a)\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a = \boldsymbol{\tau}^A + \boldsymbol{\gamma} - \boldsymbol{\delta} \quad (11)$$

with  $\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  and  $\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a)$  defined as in the holonomic case, except that now we distinguish between  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_a$ . Thus,

$$\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{T} \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) = \mathbf{T}^T \dot{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{T} \quad (13)$$

Moreover,  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ ,  $\dot{\mathbf{T}} = \dot{\mathbf{T}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a)$ , and  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a)$ . For brevity, we shall omit the arguments in the derivations below. Let us now calculate  $\dot{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a)$ :

$$\dot{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) = \dot{\mathbf{T}}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}^T \dot{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{T}} \quad (14)$$

Furthermore, we recall the expression for  $\dot{\mathbf{M}}$  given in Exercise 3.15 for one single body. For  $r$  bodies,  $\dot{\mathbf{M}}$  takes on an identical form, except that, now,  $\dot{\mathbf{M}}$ ,  $\mathbf{W}$ , and  $\mathbf{M}$  are  $6r \times 6r$  block-diagonal, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{M} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{M}_1, \dots, \mathbf{M}_r), \quad \mathbf{W} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_r), \quad \dot{\mathbf{M}} = \text{diag}(\dot{\mathbf{M}}_1, \dots, \dot{\mathbf{M}}_r)$$

eq.(14) thus yielding

$$\dot{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) = \dot{\mathbf{T}}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}^T \dot{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}^T (\mathbf{W} \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W}) \mathbf{T} \quad (15)$$

Upon substituting eq.(13) into eq.(15), we obtain

$$\dot{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) = \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) + \mathbf{T}^T \dot{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{T}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) &= \dot{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) - \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{T}} + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{T} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) + \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{I}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) - \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{T}} + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{T} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \dot{\mathbf{T}}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{T}} + \mathbf{T}^T (\mathbf{W} \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W}) \mathbf{T} \right] - \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{T}} + \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{T} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \dot{\mathbf{T}}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{T}} + \mathbf{T}^T (\mathbf{W} \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W}) \mathbf{T} \right] \end{aligned}$$

thereby obtaining the derived expression for  $\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a)$ .

## 10.6

- (a) Because the robot undergoes pure translation, the kinematic constraint can be written in the form

$$\omega = \frac{r}{l} (\dot{\theta}_1 - \dot{\theta}_2) = 0$$

which yields

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a = \mathbf{L} \dot{\theta}_1$$

where the matrix  $\mathbf{L}$  is

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

The inertia matrix with pure translation is, then, a scalar  $I_a$ , namely,

$$I_a = \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{I} \mathbf{L} = I'_w + I'_c + I'_b + I'_p$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I'_w &= 2(I + m_w r^2) \\ I'_c &= \frac{3m_c r^2 \rho^2}{2} \\ I'_b &= m_b d^2 \rho^2 \\ I'_p &= m_p r^2 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Now, for the case in which the midpoint of segment  $O_1 O_2$  is stationary, the kinematic constraint can be written as

$$\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2 = 0 \implies \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a = \dot{\mathbf{U}} \dot{\theta}_1$$

with

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The expression of the inertia matrix in this case is

$$I_b = \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{I} \mathbf{U} = I'_w + I'_c + I'_b + I'_p$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I'_w &= 2(I + m_w r^2 + 4(\rho\delta)^2 H) \\ I'_c &= m_c r^2 \alpha^2 (6 \cos^2 \psi + \rho^2 (1 - \cos^2 \psi)) \\ I'_b &= \alpha^2 \rho^2 (4I_b \sin^2 \psi + m_b d^2 (1 + 3 \cos^2 \psi)) \\ I'_p &= 4I_p (\rho\delta)^2 + 4\lambda^2 m_p r^2 \end{aligned}$$

**10.8** The Coriolis and centrifugal forces matrix  $\mathbf{C}(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\theta}_a, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a$  can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{C}(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\theta}_a, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a = \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{T}} \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a \equiv \left[ \text{vect}(\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{T}}) \right] \times \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a \quad (16)$$

where from eq.(10.88), we notice that  $\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{T}}$  is skew symmetric, its vector being

$$\text{vect}(\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{T}}) = \sqrt{3} \lambda^2 (3m_w + m_p) r^2 \omega \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

By recalling eq.(10.74),

$$\text{vect}(\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{T}}) = 3\lambda^2 (3m_w + m_p) r^2 (-\lambda) \underbrace{\left( \sum_1^3 \dot{\theta}_i \right)}_{\sqrt{3} \mathbf{e}^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a} \mathbf{e}$$

with

$$\mathbf{e} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} [1 \quad 1 \quad 1]^T$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{C}(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\theta}_a, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a = -3\sqrt{3} \lambda^3 (3m_w + m_p) r^2 (\mathbf{e}^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) \mathbf{e} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a$$

Now,  $\mathbf{C}(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\theta}_a, \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a) \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a$  vanishes under the following nontrivial conditions :

- i) The mean value of  $\{\dot{\theta}_i\}_1^3$  vanishes, i.e.,  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a$  is normal to  $\mathbf{e}$ . This implies that the platform undergoes pure translation;
- ii) All three wheel rates are identical, i.e.,  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_a$  is parallel to  $\mathbf{e}$ . This implies that the platform undergoes pure rotation.