



Coverage




Motivation

Humanitarian Demining



Motivation Lawn Mowing



Motivation Vacuum Cleaning



Robotic Coverage

- More than 2 million Roombas sold!
- Automated Car Painting





Roomba Costumes



From: <http://www.myroombud.com/>


Coverage

- First Distinction
 - Deterministic **Demining**
 - Random **Vacuum Cleaning**
- Second Distinction
 - Complete
 - No Guarantee
- Third Distinction
 - Known Environment
 - Unknown Environment



Non-Deterministic Coverage

- Complete Random Walk
- Ant Robotics
 - Leave trail
 - Bias the behavior towards or away from the trails

S. Koenig Ant Robotics, terrain coverage



Andrew Russell, Monash University, Australia



Ant Robotics: I. Wagner, IBM & Technion



Deterministic Coverage

- Complete Algorithm
- Guarantees Complete Coverage

Cell-Decomposition Methods

Two families of methods:

- **Exact cell decomposition**


The free space F is represented by a collection of non-overlapping cells whose union is exactly F

Examples: trapezoidal and cylindrical decompositions

Boustrophedon Cellular Decomposition


The way of the Ox!

Cellular Decomposition




→
Direction of Coverage

Single Cell Coverage




Direction of Coverage

Cellular Decomposition



Critical Points





- There are four types of critical points:

⊖ Forward Concave critical point

⊕ Reverse Concave critical point

⊗ Reverse Convex critical point

⊙ Forward Convex critical point



 Direction of Coverage


Demining in Action (almost)



Cell decomposition for Path Planning


- Decompose the free space into simple cells and represent the connectivity of the free space by the adjacency graph of these cells

Trapezoidal decomposition




Spatial decompositions

Dividing free space into pieces and using those...



Spatial decompositions

Dividing free space into pieces and using those...




Running time?

Exact cell decomposition

sweepline algorithm

Spatial decompositions

Dividing free space into pieces and using those...



Running time?

Path?


Exact cell decomposition

sweepline algorithm

$O(N \log(N))$

Spatial decompositions

Dividing free space into pieces and using those...



Exact cell decomposition
sweepline algorithm

Running time?


$O(N \log(N))$

Path?

via centroids

Spatial decompositions

Dividing free space into pieces and using those...



Exact cell decomposition
sweepline algorithm

Running time?


$O(N \log(N))$

Path?

via centroids
+ edge midpoints

Spatial decompositions

Dividing free space into pieces and using those...



Exact cell decomposition
sweepline algorithm

Running time?

$O(N \log(N))$

Path?

via centroids
+ edge midpoints
+ graph search

Why?

why else?

Image Maps



```
# Top fish
poly /examples/fish.html 298,93 251,26 300,0
    453,0 511,48 511,101 474,65 420,55 358,88

# Bottom fish
poly /examples/fish.html 349,196 350,233 406,221
    444,195 455,214 470,181 418,150

# Plant
poly /examples/plant.html 117,96 116,267 172,283
    192,299 247,254 242,101

# Pillar
poly http://hoohoo.ncsa.uiuc.edu/examples/pillar.html
    11,0 26,225 18,261 83,270 109,264 110,97 105,0

# Lower right floor
poly /examples/floor.html 0,383 82,383 82,271 2,267

# Post right under plant
rect /examples/post.html 83,284 180,383

# Rail and stairs
poly /examples/rail.html 175,320 227,383 347,268 345,166
poly /examples/stairs.html 223,383 371,261 511,341 511,383
```

...

the “point-location” problem

trapezoidal decomposition




Image Maps



```
# Top fish
poly /examples/fish.html 298,93 251,26 300,0
    453,0 511,48 511,101 474,65 420,55 358,88

# Bottom fish
poly /examples/fish.html 349,196 350,233 406,221
    444,195 455,214 470,181 418,150

# Plant
poly /examples/plant.html 117,96 116,267 172,283
    192,299 247,254 242,101


# Pillar
poly http://hoohoo.ncsa.uiuc.edu/examples/pillar.html
    11,0 26,225 18,261 83,270 109,264 110,97 105,0

# Lower right floor
poly /examples/floor.html 0,383 82,383 82,271 2,267

# Post right under plant
rect /examples/post.html 83,284 180,383

# Rail and stairs
poly /examples/rail.html 175,320 227,383 347,268 345,166
poly /examples/stairs.html 223,383 371,261 511,341 511,383

...
```




the “point-location” problem


via trapezoidal decomposition

Optimality

Obtaining the *minimum* number of convex cells is NP-complete.



15 cells



9 cells

Trapezoidal decomposition is exact and complete, but not optimal -- even among convex subdivisions.

there may be more detail in the world than the task needs to worry about...

Cell-Decomposition Methods

Two families of methods:

- Exact cell decomposition


- Approximate cell decomposition

F is represented by a collection of non-overlapping cells whose union is contained in F

Examples: quadtree, octree, 2^n -tree

further decomposing...

Approximate cell decomposition




Quadtree:

recursively subdivides each *mixed* obstacle/free (sub)region into four quarters...

further decomposing...

Approximate cell decomposition




Quadtree:

recursively subdivides each *mixed* obstacle/free (sub)region into four quarters...

further decomposing...

Approximate cell decomposition






Quadtree

Again, use a graph-search algorithm to find a path from the start to goal

is this a **complete** path-planning algorithm?
i.e., does it find a path when one exists ?


Octree Decomposition




 EMPTY cell  MIXED cell  FULL cell

Coverage of Known Worlds


Empty Terrain



Outdoor-Like Terrain




Indoor-Like Terrain




From: X. Zheng and S. Koenig. Robot Coverage of Terrain with Non-Uniform Traversability. In Proc. of the IEEE Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pg. 3757-3764, 2007

STC
cover time = 682
cover and return time = 688



MSTC
cover time = 332
cover and return time = 394



Multi-Robot Complete Coverage

- Multiple Robots:
 - Efficiency
 - Robustness
 - Higher Complexity
- Inter-Robot Communication Abilities
- Guarantee of Complete Coverage


Multi Robot Complete Coverage

Limited Communication: Main Ideas

- Communication is limited to Line of Sight
- Coverage of a single cell
 - Robots have two roles:
 - 🕒 Explorers
 - 🕒 Coverers
- Team coordination for complete coverage of the environment
 - Limited communication
 - Deterministic approach
 - Team splits only once

Single Cell Coverage

- Each team of N robots has:
 - 2 explorers, $N-2$ coverers
- The explorers trace the top and bottom border of the Cell maintaining the same X -coordinate until the Line of Sight is broken (i.e. a critical point is detected)




Single Cell Coverage

- Each team of N robots has:
 - 2 explorers, $N-2$ coverers
- The explorers trace the top and bottom border of the Cell maintaining the same X -coordinate until the Line of Sight is broken (i.e. a critical point is detected)
- The coverers use an up-and-down motion to cover the interior of the cell


Critical Point Detection

- The explorers are able to detect all critical points:
 - ⊖ Forward Concave CP (encountered only at start-up)
 - ⊖ Reverse Concave CP (explorers approach each other)
 - ⊗ Reverse Convex CP (Line of Sight breaks)
 - ⊗ Forward Convex CP (Explorer reverses direction)



Single Cell Coverage



Reverse Concave Critical Point



The circles represent the robot position not the sensor footprint.

Single Cell Coverage


Forward Convex Critical Point



The circles represent the robot position not the sensor footprint.

Single Cell Coverage

Reverse Convex Critical Point



Top Explorer Bottom Explorer
Coverers




The circles represent the robot position not the sensor footprint.

Team Coverage

- The team splits only once into two sub-teams in order to encircle an obstacle
- One sub-team moves clockwise around the obstacle, the other sub-team moves counter-clockwise
- If a sub-team encounters a dead-end it backtracks
- Guaranteed re-joining of the two sub-teams

Team Splitting and Rejoining


→
Coverage direction



Coverage Example



Multi-Robot Coverage Paradigm



Multi Robot Complete Coverage

Main Ideas

- Unrestricted Communication / Good Localization
- Environment is divided into as many stripes as robots
- Cooperative Exploration
 - Each robot explores the boundaries of its stripe
 - Robots Auction parts of the non reachable parts of their stripe
- Cooperative Coverage
 - Connectivity of the environment is known
 - Each robot covers the closest cell
 - Robots Auction coverage tasks

Example

- See it on vlc...

Auctions!

- Used to improved performance
- A central coordinator or one team member call/administer the auction
- Robots bid for tasks based on some estimated reward/cost

More Multi-Robot Ideas

- Marsupial Robots




Also watch: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hCGgoPS91Rw>

From: <http://www.nosc.mil/robots/resources/marsupial/marsupial.html>

More Multi-Robot Ideas

- Marsupial Robots



From: <http://distrib.cs.umn.edu/demos.php>

More Multi-Robot Ideas

- Formations

