

## Introduction to Linear Systems

Today we begin the second part of the course, which addresses image analysis. We will start off with the basic elements of linear system theory. The main application that we will start with is to detect edges in the presence of image noise.

### Example: local difference

One common image analysis operation involves taking a linear combination of the intensities of nearby pixels. An example is to take the local difference of intensities. Let's just look at the problem in 1D, and consider the operation  $D$  which takes an image  $I(x)$  and computes an approximation of a derivative

$$DI(x) = \frac{1}{2}I(x+1) - \frac{1}{2}I(x-1).$$

What is the effect of such a transformation? One key idea is that such a derivative would be useful for marking positions where the intensity changes. Such a change is called an *edge*. It is important to detect edges in images because they often mark locations at which object properties change. These can include changes in illumination along a surface due to a shadow boundary, or a material change. They also include changes in depth, as when one object ends and another begins. (In this case, there is typically a material and illumination change.) The computational problem of finding intensity edges in images is called *edge detection*.

We could then look for positions at which either  $DI(x)$  has a large negative or positive value. Large positive values indicate an edge that goes from low to high intensity, and large negative values indicate an edge that goes from high to low intensity. For example, suppose the image consists of a single edge:

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} 100, & x > x_0 \\ 70, & x = x_0 \\ 40, & x < x_0 \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$DI(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x > x_0 + 1 \\ 15, & x = x_0 + 1 \\ 30, & x = x_0 \\ 15, & x = x_0 - 1 \\ 0, & x < x_0 - 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $DI$  takes large values at  $x = x_0$ .

If we think of  $DI$  as the first derivative of the image, then we see that there is a maximum in the absolute value of  $DI$  at the edge, that is, *an edge produces a peak in the first derivative*. Intuitively, this is very easy to understand, since an edge gives a high slope from one intensity to the next.

A few notes:

- $DI$  is not defined at the left and right boundary of the image. There are several ways to handle this problem. I'll mention two later.
- If we think of  $I(x)$  as an N-D vector, namely there are  $N$  pixels  $x$ , then  $DI$  is a linear transform, represented by an  $N \times N$  matrix. (Again, we need to handle the borders somehow.)

## (Discrete) Convolution

The discrete derivative is a linear transform of the image intensities. But it is a special kind of linear transform in which the weights of linear combination depend only on the neighborhood relations between the points. Such a linear transformation is called a *convolution*. Let's first define convolution formally for 1-D images, then afterwards we'll define it for 2-D images.

If we have a function  $I(x)$  where  $x$  is integer valued, define the discrete convolution of  $I(x)$  with another function  $f(x)$  to be

$$I * f(x) \equiv \sum_{x'} I(x - x') f(x').$$

For the local difference operator above, we have

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & x = -1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}, & x = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Local averaging is another example of a convolution. Consider a function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1/4, & x = -1 \\ 1/2, & x = 0 \\ 1/4, & x = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Local averaging is sometimes called *smoothing*.

Here is yet another example of a convolution.

$$I * f(x) = -3 I(x+2) + 4 I(x+1) + 2 I(x-2)$$

Now the function  $f(x)$  is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -3, & x = -2 \\ 4, & x = -1 \\ 2, & x = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

These functions  $f(x)$  which we convolve with images are often called *filters*. We say that we are "filtering" the image with a filter  $f(x)$ .

## Boundary conditions

Let's return briefly to the problem of handling image boundaries. One can either treat  $x$  as periodic so that the index of  $x$  is  $x \bmod N$ . In this case, we define a *circular convolution*

$$(I * f)(x) \equiv \sum_{x'=0}^{N-1} I((x - x') \bmod N) f(x' \bmod N).$$

Notice that in the examples above,  $f(x)$  was defined on values of  $x$  that were both positive and negative. If you want to perform circular convolution, then you will need to define  $f(x)$  on values in  $0, \dots, N-1$ , for example,  $-1 \bmod N = N-1$ .

Alternatively, one can treat the intensities  $I(x)$  as 0 “beyond” the image boundary i.e. beyond the indices  $0, \dots, N-1$ . This is called *pad with zeros*, meaning that we treat  $f(x)$  and  $I(x)$  as if they each have infinite domains  $x \in \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, N-1, N, \dots\}$  but the values of  $I(x)$  are zero outside of  $x = 0$  to  $N-1$ .

## Algebraic properties of convolution

One surprising and useful property the convolution operation is that it *commutative*: one can switch the order of the two functions  $I$  and  $f$  in the convolution without affecting the result. To prove that convolution is commutative, we pad  $I(x)$  and  $f(x)$  with zeros. This allows us to take the summation from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$ . Using the substitution  $w = x - x'$ , we have

$$I(x) * f(x) = \sum_{x'=-\infty}^{\infty} I(x') f(x - x') = \sum_{w=-\infty}^{\infty} I(x - w) f(w) = f(x) * I(x)$$

In class, I proved this last result for circular convolution, using a similar substitution. See if you can do it for yourself.

A second surprising property of convolution is that it is *associative*:

$$I * (f_1 * f_2) = (I * f_1) * f_2$$

Again the proof is simple, and you should work it out for yourself.

Why are these properties useful? Often, in signal processing, we perform a sequence of operations on a set of images. For example, you might average the pixels in a local neighborhood, then take their derivative (or second derivative) in some direction. The algebraic properties just described give us some flexibility in the order of operations.

One final property about convolution is that it is *distributive*:

$$(I_1 + I_2) * f = I_1 * f + I_2 * f$$

(This is also simple to prove and I leave it to you as an exercise.) This property is also useful. For example, if  $I_1 = I(x)$  is an image and  $I_2 = n(x)$  is a noise function that is added to the image, then if we blur and take the derivative of the “image+noise,” we get the same result as if we blur and take the derivative of the image and noise functions separately, and then add the results together.

## Impulse function

Another way to think about convolution is in terms of the function

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which is called the (discrete) *impulse function*. It is straightforward to show that, for any  $f(x)$ ,

$$\delta(x) * f(x) = f(x).$$

For this reason, we sometimes call the filter  $f(x)$  an *impulse response function*, since it is the output that you get when you convolve the impulse function with  $f(x)$ .

Next notice that

$$f(x) * \delta(x - x_0) = f(x - x_0) .$$

This is interesting, because we can write any function  $I(x)$  as a sum of shifted impulse functions, namely

$$I(x) = \sum_u I(u) \delta(x - u)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} (f * I)(x) &= f(x) * \sum_u I(u) \delta(x - u) . \\ &= \sum_u I(u) f(x) * \delta(x - u) . \\ &= \sum_u I(u) f(x - u) \end{aligned}$$

which is just the definition of convolution. This allows us to visualize what convolution does. It adds up the “responses” to a sum of shifted impulse functions.

## (Continuous) Convolution

It is often convenient to work with a continuous domain  $x$  rather than a discrete domain, since this allows one to use the tools of Calculus. Here I will sketch out the basic ideas, and next lecture we will see how to use them.

If we have functions  $I(x)$  and  $f(x)$  where  $x$  is real valued on  $[\infty, \infty]$ , define the continuous convolution of  $I(x)$  with  $f(x)$  to be

$$(I * f)(x) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(x') f(x - x') dx' .$$

You should look at this formula and imagine that you adding up infinitely many shifted functions  $f(x - x')$  such that the one shifted by  $x'$  is weighted by  $I(x') dx'$

The continuous version of the impulse function is defined as follows.

$$\delta_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\epsilon}, & |x| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\delta(x) \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta_\epsilon(x) .$$

Notice that the following is well defined

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) = 1$$

since the integral is 1 for any  $\epsilon > 0$  (and hence the integral is 1 in the limit too).

A function  $f(x)$  is again called an impulse response function, since

$$(\delta * f)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - u) f(u) du = f(x).$$

Make sure you understand what is happening in that last equation. The delta function only “sees” an epsilon neighborhood of  $f(x)$ , and the integral computes the local average of values of  $f(x)$  in that  $\epsilon$  neighborhood. If the impulse response function  $f(x)$  is continuous near  $x$ , then as  $\epsilon$  shrinks to zero, the result is the value exactly at  $f(x)$ .

Let's now return to the issues of “edges”. Consider an edge-like function,

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

This function is not continuous, but we can write it as the limit of a continuous function, as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{x}{\epsilon}, & |x| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If we take the derivative of  $u_{\epsilon}(x)$  (and ignore the two values of  $x$  where the derivative is not defined) and take the limit, we see that

$$\delta(x) = \frac{d}{dx} u(x)$$

that is, a delta function is equivalent to the derivative of a step edge.

One final point: What is the relationship between the derivative operation  $\frac{d}{dx}$  and the discrete derivative  $D$  that we saw at the beginning of the lecture? Recall from Calculus,

$$\frac{d}{dx} g(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x + \epsilon) - g(x - \epsilon)}{2\epsilon}$$

So if we define a function

$$f_{\epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \delta(x + \epsilon) - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \delta(x - \epsilon)$$

then, for any  $g(x)$ , we have

$$\frac{dg(x)}{dx} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{\epsilon}(x) * g(x).$$

Setting  $\epsilon = 1$  we get the discrete derivative  $D$ .

## Introduction to Edge Detection

We will next look at the problem of finding an edge in an image. We take a simple model in which the image is the sum of a step function and a noise function,

$$I(x) = Au(x) + n(x)$$

where the constant  $A$  is sometimes called the “amplitude” of the edge, and  $n(x)$  is set of independent, identically distributed noise variables with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma_n^2$ .

To detect an edge, one typically convolves  $I(x)$  with some function  $f(x)$  such that  $I(x) * f(x)$  has a local maximum at  $x = 0$ . Even for the simplest case of an edge  $u(x)$ , there are several challenging issues that arise.

- there may not be much difference in intensity on the two sides of an edge, that is,  $A$  might be a small number; in this case, the local maximum that is due to the edge might be hidden by other local maxima that are due to the noise;
- even if we can detect a local maximum from the edge, the position of this local maximum might not be at  $x = 0$ , i.e. the noise might cause the local maximum to be shifted.

## Noise and smoothing

How can you reduce the effects of noise? Recall earlier the local averaging filter. Local averaging *smooths* out an image. In the case that the image contains an edge plus noise, the smoothing has two effects: it smooths out the noise (and reduces it, which is good), and it also smooths out the edge (which is not good).

I will go over this in more detail next class. In the meantime, if you have forgotten or you never learned (very) basic statistics, have a look at <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Variance> up and including the part “Sum of uncorrelated random variables.” You may need to spend an hour or two looking through a basic stats text to see the details, if the wikipedia page is not enough.